Unisys Corporation - Climate Change 2022

C0. Introduction

C0.1

(C0.1) Give a general description and introduction to your organization.

Unisys Corporation (Unisys) is a worldwide information technology (“IT”) company that provides a portfolio of IT services, software and technology that solves mission-critical problems for clients. Unisys has limited manufacturing operations. Nevertheless, Unisys has implemented strong environmental requirements for its supply chain. Those requirements include environmental reporting, pollution prevention, and product content restrictions. Unisys is actively engaged in providing energy-efficient products that are consistent with, or exceed, program limits such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s Energy Star Program. Actual energy consumption of our products varies based on the customer's usage patterns as well as on the source of the energy used to power those products. Unisys end-of-life product disposition program is designed to help mitigate Unisys carbon footprint with the reduction of carbon dioxide (CO2) associated with disposition of end-of-life electric and electronic equipment. To address proper recovery, recycling, and disposal of customer end-of-life electrical and electronic equipment that is consistent with legislative or regulatory requirements, Unisys utilizes only environmentally sound disposition partners. In the European Union these partners are conducting business in a manner that is consistent with the requirements of the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) Directive and related Member State legislation. Unisys is committed to complying with governmental legislative and regulatory requirements for providing environmentally sound recovery, recycling, and disposal of customer end-of-life Unisys-branded electrical and electronic equipment.

From our first Carbon Disclosure Report in 2007 (for Calendar Year 2006), we have reduced location based Scope 1 and 2 emissions from 171,365 metric tonnes to 33,024.4 metric tonnes or 82% with a goal of reducing location based Scope 1 and 2 emissions in CDP 2027 (for CY 2026) by 75% as compared to CDP 2007. This goal has been achieved five (5) years ahead of schedule. With that in mind, Unisys has established a net zero carbon goal by CY 2030. To achieve this goal, we are working on a science-based target of a 75% reduction in CY 2020 location based Scope 1 and 2 emissions (43,899 metric tonnes) by CY 2030 by optimizing energy efficiency in our operations, right sizing the real estate footprint to align with a hybrid working model and pursue economically feasible opportunities to source renewable power. The remaining carbon footprint will then be offset using renewable energy credits. These plans have been articulated in Unisys' Science-based Target that was submitted in June 2022 to the Science Based Target initiative for their review and approval.

Since 1997, obsolete products have been collected from within Unisys and from Unisys customers. In 2021, over 175,000 pounds of end-of-life products were collected. Those obsolete products were processed through third-party facilities. Many parts were refurbished for future reuse as replacement parts, while remaining materials were delivered to end-of-life electronic equipment vendors for recycling and energy recovery. In 2021 Unisys did not dispose of any U.S. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act hazardous waste from its manufacturing operations. Whenever possible, Unisys promotes recycling opportunities, reduces waste generation and encourages the wise use of supplies and materials during, and after, their useful life. In its commitment to a cleaner environment, Unisys is involved in a variety of product-focused initiatives that help the company, Unisys customers and the environment. These initiatives include the use of green vehicles for employee transit in India, photocopiers are set for double sided printing to conserve paper, establishing central collection spots within our locations for recycling of paper, cans and plastics to allow associates to make a conscious decision to recycle, expanding a hybrid working environment and including Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) criteria into selection of new locations, construction and remodeling projects. Unisys encourages employees and customers to recycle printer cartridges, as well as employee home-generated print cartridges, small batteries and mobile telephones, to significantly reduce landfill waste.

C0.2

(C0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data.

	Start date	End date	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years	Select the number of past reporting years you will be providing emissions data for
Reporting year	January 1 2021	December 31 2021	Yes	1 year

C0.3

(C0.3) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Argentina
Australia
Austria
Belgium
Brazil
Canada
China
Colombia
France
Germany
Hong Kong SAR, China
Hungary
India
Japan
Luxembourg
Malaysia
Mexico
Netherlands
New Zealand
Philippines
Singapore
Spain
Switzerland
Taiwan, China
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
United States of America

C0.4

(C0.4) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

USD

C0.5

(C0.5) Select the option that describes the reporting boundary for which climate-related impacts on your business are being reported. Note that this option should align with your chosen approach for consolidating your GHG inventory.

Financial control

C0.8

(C0.8) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

Indicate whether you are able to provide a unique identifier for your organization	Provide your unique identifier
Yes, an ISIN code	US9092143067
Yes, a Ticker symbol	UIS

C1. Governance

C1.1

(C1.1) Is there board-level oversight of climate-related issues within your organization?

Yes

C1.1a

(C1.1a) Identify the position(s) (do not include any names) of the individual(s) on the board with responsibility for climate-related issues.

Position of individual(s)	Please explain
Chief Executive Officer (CEO)	The CEO, Board of Directors and Senior Leadership Team have established and monitor our sustainability goals (which include climate-related issues) for the Corporation. Examples of climate-related decisions completed by the CEO include in 2021 the decision to pursue a Net Zero Carbon Goal by 2030, submittal of a Science-based target and the approval of actions to consolidate locations to drive efficiencies. These actions also result in a reduction in electricity consumption and GHG emissions, investment in products/services that improve operational efficiencies and investment in capital projects to reduce energy consumption.
President	The President tasks the responsible organizations to develop plans and implement the actions required to achieve our sustainability goals (which include climate-related issues) for the Corporation. This includes the decisions regarding operations and real estate footprint as well as the hybrid work model.

C1.1b

(C1.1b) Provide further details on the board's oversight of climate-related issues.

Frequency with which climate-related issues are a scheduled agenda item	Governance mechanisms into which climate-related issues are integrated	Scope of board-level oversight	Please explain
Scheduled – some meetings	Reviewing and guiding strategy Monitoring implementation and performance of objectives Monitoring and overseeing progress against goals and targets for addressing climate-related issues	<Not Applicable>	The Board monitors the strategy being used to achieve goals, sets the performance objectives and monitors progress against the objectives and targets

C1.1d

(C1.1d) Does your organization have at least one board member with competence on climate-related issues?

	Board member(s) have competence on climate-related issues	Criteria used to assess competence of board member(s) on climate-related issues	Primary reason for no board-level competence on climate-related issues	Explain why your organization does not have at least one board member with competence on climate-related issues and any plans to address board-level competence in the future
Row 1	Yes	Knowledge and understanding of the subject matter	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>

C1.2

(C1.2) Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for climate-related issues.

Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)	Reporting line	Responsibility	Coverage of responsibility	Frequency of reporting to the board on climate-related issues
Chief Executive Officer (CEO)	<Not Applicable>	Both assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities	<Not Applicable>	Quarterly

C1.2a

(C1.2a) Describe where in the organizational structure this/these position(s) and/or committees lie, what their associated responsibilities are, and how climate-related issues are monitored (do not include the names of individuals).

The CEO has the authority to establish the goals and objectives of the Corporation and therefore has ultimate responsibility for actions taken to reduce GHG emissions. On a quarterly basis reports of progress are provided which include the reduction in energy consumption associated with the consolidation of locations as well as the utilization of Leadership in Energy and Environment Design considerations in the selection, and renovation of existing locations. The rationale for the CEO having these responsibilities is that the goals and objective to implement meaningful change reach across multiple organizations and the CEO has the ultimate responsibility and can exercise control over these organizations in order to effect change.

C1.3

(C1.3) Do you provide incentives for the management of climate-related issues, including the attainment of targets?

	Provide incentives for the management of climate-related issues	Comment
Row 1	Yes	Reducing the carbon footprint is an annual performance objective that is considered in incentive and annual compensation. We continued to provide incentives for the management of climate-related issues, including the attainment of targets, in 2021.

C1.3a

(C1.3a) Provide further details on the incentives provided for the management of climate-related issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Entitled to incentive	Type of incentive	Activity incentivized	Comment
Corporate executive team	Monetary reward	Emissions reduction project Emissions reduction target Supply chain engagement	These activities are included in the evaluation of incentives
Management group	Monetary reward	Emissions reduction project Emissions reduction target Environmental criteria included in purchases	These activities are included in the evaluation of incentives

C2. Risks and opportunities

C2.1

(C2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and responding to climate-related risks and opportunities?

Yes

C2.1a

(C2.1a) How does your organization define short-, medium- and long-term time horizons?

	From (years)	To (years)	Comment
Short-term	0	2	The short term horizon allows for planning of the near term actions
Medium-term	2	4	The medium term horizon actions are then assessed and updated based on the short term horizon outcomes
Long-term	4	10	The long term horizon allows for aspirational direction setting the sights on the objectives/goals

C2.1b

(C2.1b) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

Unisys defines substantive financial or strategic impact as any issue that can adversely and materially impact the financial health of the company, the reputation/brand or the health and safety our stakeholders and/or the environment. Adverse impacts are defined and quantified as any incident with a corresponding financial impact greater than 1% of annual revenues

C2.2

(C2.2) Describe your process(es) for identifying, assessing and responding to climate-related risks and opportunities.

Value chain stage(s) covered
Direct operations

Risk management process
Integrated into multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process

Frequency of assessment
Annually

Time horizon(s) covered
Short-term
Medium-term
Long-term

Description of process
Potential risks associated with climate change are evaluated and assessed for potential financial impacts based on the direction of the business and service delivery locations. One example of this risk includes loss of revenues associated with service disruptions due to climate change-related issues, such as severe weather events. This evaluation incudes, but is not limited to: Human Resources to assess impacts to the work force; Real Estate/Facilities to assess risks to the facility structure and infrastructure; Information Technology for disruptions to networks; and Service Delivery to asses impacts associated with the transfer of delivering service from alternate locations. These assessments are part of the annual Business Continuity reviews. These reviews assess short, medium and long term impacts.

Value chain stage(s) covered
Upstream

Risk management process
Integrated into multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process

Frequency of assessment
Annually

Time horizon(s) covered
Short-term
Medium-term

Description of process
Potential risks associated with climate change are evaluated for our supplier base and are assessed for potential financial impacts based on products provided and delivery locations. One example of this risk includes loss of revenues associated with supply chain disruptions due to climate change-related issues, such as severe weather events. This evaluation incudes, but is not limited to: Human Resources to assess impacts to the work force; Real Estate/Facilities to assess risks to the facility structure and infrastructure; Information Technology for disruptions to networks; and Service Delivery to asses impacts associated with the transfer of delivering service from alternate locations. These assessments are part of the annual Business Continuity reviews. These reviews assess short and medium term impacts.

Value chain stage(s) covered
Downstream

Risk management process
Integrated into multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process

Frequency of assessment
Annually

Time horizon(s) covered
Short-term
Medium-term
Long-term

Description of process
Assessing how our products/services impact our downstream stakeholders

---

C2.2a

(C2.2a) Which risk types are considered in your organization's climate-related risk assessments?

	Relevance & inclusion	Please explain
Current regulation	Relevant, always included	An understanding of the regulatory requirements is essential to ensure compliance as well as actions that will need to be taken to comply. One example of a regulatory change is the pending US Security and Exchange Commission regulation requiring 3rd party validation of carbon reporting
Emerging regulation	Relevant, always included	Emerging regulations may cause potential changes which are assessed to ensure that proper actions are being taken in advance to mitigate impacts. Future regulatory changes may mandate energy efficiency, in which case we assess the risk and its associated financial impact. This includes potential taxes on carbon emissions.
Technology	Relevant, always included	Our assessment procedures consider the development of new technologies that will allow for a reduction in our carbon footprint and methods to deliver service in a more energy efficient manner. Examples include cloud computing and server virtualization. These technologies allow for more energy efficient computing.
Legal	Relevant, always included	An understanding of legal requirements is essential to ensure compliance as well as which actions will need to be taken to comply. Examples include Security and Exchange Commission regulations on carbon reporting.
Market	Relevant, always included	An understanding of the direct market is required to ensure proper products/services are in place that have a limited footprint on the environment. As the investment community drives companies to evaluate the environmental impact of their operations, if companies do not take this into account an adverse result could occur.
Reputation	Relevant, always included	It is essential to be a responsible corporate citizen as it demonstrates an awareness and responsibility by doing the "right things" consistent with our Code of Conduct
Acute physical	Relevant, sometimes included	Changes in climate patterns are a consideration in location of operations and the need for redundant capabilities
Chronic physical	Relevant, always included	Changes in long term climate patterns are a consideration in location of operations and the need for redundant capabilities

C2.3

(C2.3) Have you identified any inherent climate-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?
Yes

C2.3a

(C2.3a) Provide details of risks identified with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

Identifier
Risk 4

Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur?
Direct operations

Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver

Emerging regulation	Carbon pricing mechanisms

Primary potential financial impact
Increased indirect (operating) costs

Climate risk type mapped to traditional financial services industry risk classification
<Not Applicable>

Company-specific description
Emerging regulations including mandatory GHG reporting such as the proposed SEC Regulations. This will require additional resources such a 3rd party verification, which will increase operating expenses and impact profitability.

Carbon Taxes associated with GHG emissions which would increase operating costs and impact profitability. For Carbon Taxes, the estimated cost was based at and average cost $25 USD per metric tonnes with an estimated 1,000 to 1,500 metric tonnes at risk in the United Kingdom, Spain, Netherlands, France and Canada

Time horizon
Long-term

Likelihood
Very likely

Magnitude of impact
Medium

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
40000

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
70000

Explanation of financial impact figure
Based on discussions with various 3rd party verification firms, the estimated annual costs is projected at approximately $15,000 to $20,000 USD.

For Carbon Taxes, the estimated cost was based at and average cost $25 USD per metric tonnes with an estimated 1,000 to 1,500 metric tonnes at risk in the United Kingdom, Spain, Netherlands, France and Canada

Cost of response to risk
100000

Description of response and explanation of cost calculation
Look to more efficient methods to deliver data center solutions either through the cloud or by colocation into data centers that utilize renewable power sources in the United Kingdom to optimize efficiency of the operations.
As part of lease renewals ensure offices are the appropriate footprint for the flexible working environment and are located in energy efficient buildings. These actions will reduce energy consumption and in turn reduce GHG emissions.

Comment

Identifier
Risk 3

Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur?
Downstream

Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver

Market	Changing customer behavior

Primary potential financial impact
Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services

Climate risk type mapped to traditional financial services industry risk classification
<Not Applicable>

Company-specific description
Ensuring that the environment, sustainability and governance actions being taken are consistent with reducing carbon footprint and being a responsible corporate citizen

Time horizon
Medium-term

Likelihood
More likely than not

Magnitude of impact
Medium-high

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
100000

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
5000000

Explanation of financial impact figure
This is an estimate assuming that clients may look for more cloud-based computing solutions

Cost of response to risk
300000

Description of response and explanation of cost calculation
Ensuring investment in the necessary actions for research and development of new products to reduce the carbon footprint are implemented

Comment
This is difficult to address as the range of the impacts and costs to mitigate the risk are a wide range

---

Identifier
Risk 2

Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur?
Direct operations

Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver

Chronic physical	Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)

Primary potential financial impact
Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

Climate risk type mapped to traditional financial services industry risk classification
<Not Applicable>

Company-specific description
There are operations that can be impacted by severe weather (Typhoons/Hurricanes/Tornados), by drought that can create brush fires and by extreme (high/low) temperatures

Time horizon
Short-term

Likelihood
Likely

Magnitude of impact
Medium-high

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
100000

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
5000000

Explanation of financial impact figure
Depending upon the severity of damage and the number of locations impacted drives the range of costs.

Cost of response to risk
1000000

Description of response and explanation of cost calculation
This would require redundant facilities to be created, which some already exist , but additional facilities might be required

Comment
It is difficult to address as the range of the impacts and costs to mitigate the risk since the ranges of impact are wide.

Identifier
Risk 1

Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur?
Upstream

Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver

Reputation	Increased stakeholder concern or negative stakeholder feedback

Primary potential financial impact
Decreased access to capital

Climate risk type mapped to traditional financial services industry risk classification
<Not Applicable>

Company-specific description
Decreased availability to capital due to poor environmental performance

Time horizon
Long-term

Likelihood
Unlikely

Magnitude of impact
Medium

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
100000

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
1000000

Explanation of financial impact figure
This is difficult to assess as capital markets fluctuate

Cost of response to risk
100000

Description of response and explanation of cost calculation
This requires adherence to the goals that have been established

Comment

C2.4

(C2.4) Have you identified any climate-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?
Yes

C2.4a

(C2.4a) Provide details of opportunities identified with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

Identifier
Opp1

Where in the value chain does the opportunity occur?
Direct operations

Opportunity type
Resource efficiency

Primary climate-related opportunity driver
Use of more efficient production and distribution processes

Primary potential financial impact
Reduced indirect (operating) costs

Company-specific description

Reducing energy consumption related to data center operations by shifting operations either to cloud-based computing or to more energy efficient co-location data centers as measured by power utilization efficiency (PUE). This will result in lower energy costs/reduced GHG emissions as well as in certain countries a reduced carbon tax.

Time horizon
Short-term

Likelihood
Very likely

Magnitude of impact
Medium

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
8500000

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
11500000

Explanation of financial impact figure
Reducing power consumption by locating in more power usage effectiveness facilities could result in the savings of 10,000,000 to 15,000,000 kWh at an estimated cost of $0.10 to $0.20/kWh, reduced real estate costs associated with a net reduction of 300,000 sq, ft. at and average cost of $25/sq ft. and elimination of potential carbon tax

Cost to realize opportunity
1000000

Strategy to realize opportunity and explanation of cost calculation
With the use of cloud computing a more efficient use of hardware can be realized which will reduce the need for multiple servers dedicated to a single task. Migration to more efficient data centers for requirements that do not allow for cloud based computing allows for more efficient operating costs and a reduction of capital required to maintain/update existing data centers. By taking these actions the potential for carbon taxation is reduced. These costs are based on actual utility use of the location's and the real estate costs for rent and take into the account the cost for relocation as well the cost for the new locations. The Unisys DC's consumed ~41 million kWh in CY 2021, by migration to cloud computing, updating hardware and or co-location data centers with an estimated power cost of $0.10 to 0.20/kWH, a savings of 25 to 35% in power consumption could be realized. This action is already underway and is anticipated to be fully implemented by the end of 2026.

Comment
This action is already underway and is anticipated to be fully implemented by the end of 2026.

Identifier
Opp2

Where in the value chain does the opportunity occur?
Direct operations

Opportunity type
Products and services

Primary climate-related opportunity driver
Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

Primary potential financial impact
Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

Company-specific description
Development of products that allows for migration to cloud-based computing and though secured computing allow for greater use of remote working. This will provide a direct benefit to our clients though lower costs and a reduction in their carbon footprint by cloud computing, potentially reduced real estate footprint which would result in reduced requirement for electricity and lower emissions and a reduction in emissions associated with commuting.

Time horizon
Short-term

Likelihood
Virtually certain

Magnitude of impact
Medium-high

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
200000

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
500000

Explanation of financial impact figure
Estimated range in potential revenue opportunities that may exist from these new products.

Cost to realize opportunity
500000

Strategy to realize opportunity and explanation of cost calculation

Develop application modernization of existing programs that will allow for the task to be performed in the cloud and development of security technologies that ensure data privacy/integrity. These actions will provide a benefit to our clients and their employees as well as the environment by reducing carbon emissions. These solutions are already in place and continue to expand as the market learns the value proposition.

Comment
These solutions are already in place and continue to expand as the market learns the value proposition

---

Identifier
Opp3

Where in the value chain does the opportunity occur?
Direct operations

Opportunity type
Resource efficiency

Primary climate-related opportunity driver
Move to more efficient buildings

Primary potential financial impact
Reduced indirect (operating) costs

Company-specific description
Continue the efforts to reduce and consolidated the real estate foot print through a migration to an increased work from home for our associates. This action will allow also provide the benefit of added resilience to address pandemics

Time horizon
Short-term

Likelihood
Virtually certain

Magnitude of impact
High

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
200000

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
500000

Explanation of financial impact figure
Estimated cost to implement and migrate to more energy efficient locations

Cost to realize opportunity

Strategy to realize opportunity and explanation of cost calculation
The energy consumption of our offices is in the range of 20,000,000 kWh/year. Assuming we can down size as well as migrate to more energy efficient buildings new buildings a reduction of 10 to 50% could be realized. Assuming a cost of $0.10 per kWh a savings of $200K to $500K could be realized.
These solutions are already in place and continue to expand as the market learns the value proposition

Comment
The ability to migrate to this type of solution is a result in technologies that have been developed and capital investments in technologies that were proven to be successful in responding to COVID-19. In fact, Unisys was able to go from a 15%work from home pre COVID-19 to over 90% work from home. These costs are based on current real estate costs as well as establishing a proper work from home environment.

C3. Business Strategy

C3.1

(C3.1) Does your organization's strategy include a transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world?

Row 1

Transition plan
Yes, we have a transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

Publicly available transition plan
Yes

Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your transition plan
We do not have a feedback mechanism in place, but we plan to introduce one within the next two years

Description of feedback mechanism
<Not Applicable>

Frequency of feedback collection
<Not Applicable>

Attach any relevant documents which detail your transition plan (optional)
https://www.unisys.com/news-release/unisys-announces-goal-of-net-zero-greenhouse-gas-emissions-by-2030/
06299875 Unisys Announces Goal of Net Zero Greenhouse Gas Emissions by 2030 FINAL.docx

Explain why your organization does not have a transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world and any plans to develop one in the future
<Not Applicable>

Explain why climate-related risks and opportunities have not influenced your strategy
<Not Applicable>

C3.2

(C3.2) Does your organization use climate-related scenario analysis to inform its strategy?

	Use of climate-related scenario analysis to inform strategy	Primary reason why your organization does not use climate-related scenario analysis to inform its strategy	Explain why your organization does not use climate-related scenario analysis to inform its strategy and any plans to use it in the future
Row 1	Yes, qualitative, but we plan to add quantitative in the next two years	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>

C3.2a

(C3.2a) Provide details of your organization's use of climate-related scenario analysis.

Climate-related scenario	Scenario analysis coverage	Temperature alignment of scenario	Parameters, assumptions, analytical choices
Transition scenarios: IEA 2DS	Company-wide	<Not Applicable>	Development of an internal action plan that creates a pathway and an emissions trajectory consistent with at least a 50% chance of limiting the average global temperature rise to 1.5 to 2°C.

C3.2b

(C3.2b) Provide details of the focal questions your organization seeks to address by using climate-related scenario analysis, and summarize the results with respect to these questions.

Row 1

Focal questions
What are the climate-related risks associated with potential site locations?

Results of the climate-related scenario analysis with respect to the focal questions
We will evaluate the current climate related risks and assess the potential impact if the risks of severe weather pose additional risk to the potential location as compared to other locations being evaluated.

C3.3

(C3.3) Describe where and how climate-related risks and opportunities have influenced your strategy.

	Have climate-related risks and opportunities influenced your strategy in this area?	Description of influence
Products and services	Yes	Providing services and products that mitigate impacts on the environment, which include carbon footprint, waste generation, and recyclability of the products.
Supply chain and/or value chain	Yes	We evaluate over 75% of our key suppliers to ensure Environment, Social and Governance is a part of their operations
Investment in R&D	Yes	Investing in development of technologies that will allow our clients to operate more efficiently, which includes the impact on the environment.
Operations	Yes	How we conduct operations and where we conduct operations by looking at opportunities that limit carbon emissions

C3.4

(C3.4) Describe where and how climate-related risks and opportunities have influenced your financial planning.

	Financial planning elements that have been influenced	Description of influence
Row 1	Indirect costs	Consolidation of the real estate footprint that is conducted over a short/medium term basis in line with the direction of the business. As an example, a lease on an underutilized facility that had energy inefficient equipment was consolidated into a nearby location that had modern energy efficient equipment and capacity to accommodate the operations. This resulted in a net reduction of the combined GHG emissions from the two locations consolidated into one location.

C3.5

(C3.5) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's transition to a 1.5°C world?

No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

C4. Targets and performance

C4.1

(C4.1) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Absolute target

C4.1a

(C4.1a) Provide details of your absolute emissions target(s) and progress made against those targets.

Target reference number
Abs 1

Year target was set
2006

Target coverage
Company-wide

Scope(s)
Scope 1
Scope 2

Scope 2 accounting method
Location-based

Scope 3 category(ies)
<Not Applicable>

Base year
2006

Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)
5600

Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)
165765

Base year Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)
171365

Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1
100

Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2
100

Base year Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)
<Not Applicable>

Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes
100

Target year
2026

Targeted reduction from base year (%)
75

Total emissions in target year covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e) [auto-calculated]

Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)
760.6

Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)
32263.8

Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)
33024.4

% of target achieved relative to base year [auto-calculated]

Target status in reporting year
Achieved

Is this a science-based target?
No, but we anticipate setting one in the next 2 years

Target ambition
<Not Applicable>

Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions
The goal of a 75% reduction by CY 2026 has been achieved 5 years ahead of plan. During CY 2022 we will be establishing a science-based target that supports our net-zero goal by CY 2030

Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year
<Not Applicable>

List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target
Migration of on premise data center operations to co-location data centers. The carbon emissions for the co-location data centers are included in our Scope 2 emissions. Continued consolidation and optimization of the real estate footprint

C4.2

(C4.2) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

No other climate-related targets

C4.3

(C4.3) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Yes

C4.3a

(C4.3a) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	0	0
To be implemented*	7	24000
Implementation commenced*	0	0
Implemented*	25	12550
Not to be implemented	0	0

C4.3b

(C4.3b) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings	Other, please specify (Real Estate Optimization)

Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

12550

Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Scope 2 (location-based)

Voluntary/Mandatory

Voluntary

Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

2300000

Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1000000

Payback period

<1 year

Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Ongoing

Comment

C4.3c

(C4.3c) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Method	Comment
Financial optimization calculations	Evaluation of costs to implement and the annual savings to calculate a payback period

C4.5

(C4.5) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Yes

C4.5a

(C4.5a) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Level of aggregation
Product or service

Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon
Other, please specify (Cloud-based computing)

Type of product(s) or service(s)

Other	Other, please specify (Computer Systems)

Description of product(s) or service(s)
Computer solutions that allow for cloud or hybrid computing

Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)
Yes

Methodology used to calculate avoided emissions
Other, please specify (Comparison of the previous solution to the actual results from the new solution)

Life cycle stage(s) covered for the low-carbon product(s) or services(s)
Use stage

Functional unit used

Reference product/service or baseline scenario used
Migration to co-location data centers and technology upgrades

Life cycle stage(s) covered for the reference product/service or baseline scenario
Use stage

Estimated avoided emissions (metric tons CO2e per functional unit) compared to reference product/service or baseline scenario
1800

Explain your calculation of avoided emissions, including any assumptions
The previous solutions had GHG emissions of approximately 2,800 metric tonnes and the resulting solution has approximately 1,000 metric tonnes of GHG emissions for a net reduction of 1,800 metric tonnes.

Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year
1

C5. Emissions methodology

C5.1

(C5.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?
No

C5.1a

(C5.1a) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

Row 1

Has there been a structural change?
No

Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with
<Not Applicable>

Details of structural change(s), including completion dates
<Not Applicable>

C5.1b

(C5.1b) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?	Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)
Row 1	No	<Not Applicable>

C5.2

(C5.2) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

Base year start
January 1 2006

Base year end
December 31 2006

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)
5600

Comment

Scope 2 (location-based)

Base year start
January 1 2006

Base year end
December 31 2006

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)
165765

Comment

Scope 2 (market-based)

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

Base year start
January 1 2006

Base year end
December 31 2006

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)
44000

Comment

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

C5.3

(C5.3) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.
US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Indirect Emissions From Purchased Electricity

C6. Emissions data

C6.1

(C6.1) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
760.6

Start date
January 1 2021

End date
December 31 2021

Comment

Past year 1

Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
2275

Start date
January 1 2020

End date
December 31 2020

Comment

C6.2

(C6.2) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

Row 1

Scope 2, location-based
We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

Scope 2, market-based
We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

Comment
We collect energy usage data from each location when possible and the apply energy usage figure based on square footage and the type of operations performed at the site for locations where we do not have energy utilization data due to the nature of the Lease. The energy usage figure that is used is based on actual data collected form similar Unisys locations. In CDP 2022 we are reporting market-based and location-based information.

C6.3

(C6.3) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

Scope 2, location-based
32263.8

Scope 2, market-based (if applicable)
29802

Start date
January 1 2021

End date
December 31 2021

Comment
For CDP 2022 we were able to obtain market based emission factors for some of our locations.

Past year 1

Scope 2, location-based
41624

Scope 2, market-based (if applicable)

Start date
January 1 2020

End date
December 31 2020

Comment

C6.4

(C6.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?
No

C6.5

(C6.5) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
Our main cost of purchased goods and services is associated with contract labor and the GHG emissions are reflected in our Scope 2 emissions

Capital goods

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
Minimal capital expenditures

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
Our Scope 1 emissions cover this area

Upstream transportation and distribution

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
We do not have upstream transport as we are an information services company

Waste generated in operations

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
Minimal waste is generated from our operations

Business travel

Evaluation status
Relevant, calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
4850

Emissions calculation methodology
Fuel-based method
Distance-based method
Other, please specify (Air Travel Report)

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
10

Please explain
Mileage submitted for reimbursement is used to calculate fuel consumption which is then converted to carbon equivalents. We use an average mile per gallon figure of 22 mpg and a conversion of 8,887 gram of GHG/gallon

Employee commuting

Evaluation status
Relevant, calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
4621

Emissions calculation methodology
Average data method
Fuel-based method
Distance-based method

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
0

Please explain
Based on badge access data during the pandemic in CY 2021 over 95% of the work force were working from home. The average associate/contractor headcount in CY 2021 was approximately 20,000. Using an assumed commute distance of 50 miles the miles driven the total miles driven was calculated. To determine the gallons of gasoline to travel the total miles driven an average miles per gallon of 22 mpg to calculate gallons of gasoline consumed. A GHG emission factor of 8,887 grams per gallon was applied to the gallons of gasoline consumed to commute to calculate GHG emissions.

Upstream leased assets

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
We do not have upstream leased assets

Downstream transportation and distribution

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
We have minimal downstream transportation and distribution being an information services company

Processing of sold products

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
Not relevant as an information services company

Use of sold products

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
Based on our revenue mix this is not relevant

End of life treatment of sold products

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
Products are typically repurposed or recycled

Downstream leased assets

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
We do not have downstream leased assets

Franchises

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
We do not have franchises

Investments

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
Minimal investments

Other (upstream)

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
No other upstream sources

Other (downstream)

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
No other downstream sources

C6.5a

(C6.5a) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

Start date
January 1 2020

End date
December 31 2020

Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)
4433

Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)
0

Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)
0

Comment

C6.7

(C6.7) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?
No

C6.10

(C6.10) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Intensity figure
0.000016

Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)
33024.4

Metric denominator
unit total revenue

Metric denominator: Unit total
2050000000

Scope 2 figure used
Location-based

% change from previous year
26

Direction of change
Decreased

Reason for change
Results of optimization of operations and real estate footprint

---

Intensity figure
1.65

Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)
33024.4

Metric denominator
full time equivalent (FTE) employee

Metric denominator: Unit total
20000

Scope 2 figure used
Location-based

% change from previous year
25

Direction of change
Decreased

Reason for change
Results of optimization of operations and real estate footprint

---

Intensity figure
0.021

Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)
33024.4

Metric denominator
square foot

Metric denominator: Unit total
1804000

Scope 2 figure used
Location-based

% change from previous year
13

Direction of change
Decreased

Reason for change
Results of optimization of operations and real estate footprint

---

C7. Emissions breakdowns

C7.1

(C7.1) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?
No

C7.2

(C7.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by country/region.

Country/Region	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Australia	89.1
Brazil	4
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	186.1
United States of America	440
Colombia	0.3
China	0.5
Netherlands	40.1
Belgium	0.5

C7.3

(C7.3) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.
By facility

C7.3b

(C7.3b) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.

Facility	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Latitude	Longitude
Eagan, Minnesota	222.3	44.48	93.1
Augusta, Georgia	10	33.28	75.15
Blue Bell, Pennsylvania	13.1	40.09	75.15
Salt Lake City, Utah	194.6	40.45	111.53
Milton Keynes, England	186.1	52.02	0.42
Sydney, Australia	89.1	33.52	151.12
Shanghai, China	0.5	31.13	121.28
Sao Paulo, Brazil	4	23.33	46.37
Rio Negro, Colombia	0.3	6.15	-75.37
Luesden, Netherlands	40.1	52.13	5.43
Brussels, Belgium	0.5	50.85	4.36

C7.5

(C7.5) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by country/region.

Country/Region	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Australia	7020.2	7020.2
China	353.4	353.4
Hong Kong SAR, China	136.5	136.5
India	2364.7	2599.2
Malaysia	372.5	372.5
New Zealand	384.5	365.4
Philippines	235.8	235.8
Singapore	3.1	3.1
Taiwan, China	4.1	4.1
Austria	15.7	15.7
Belgium	44.8	44.8
Germany	129.8	129.8
Hungary	157.8	157.8
Luxembourg	1.7	1.7
Netherlands	231.1	231.1
Spain	74.2	74.2
Switzerland	3.1	3.1
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	2149.7	2025.9
Argentina	16.8	16.8
Brazil	254.2	254.2
Colombia	71.9	71.9
Mexico	14.5	14.5
Canada	106.4	106.4
United States of America	18115.2	15555
France	1	1
Japan	6.9	6.9

C7.6

(C7.6) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

By facility

C7.6b

(C7.6b) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.

Facility	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Irvine, CA	209.2	209.2
Santa Clara/Sunnyvale, CA	2.4	2.4
Halifax, Canada	105.6	105.6
Wilmington, DE	1.5	1.5
Augusta, Georgia	466.8	466.8
Eagan, Minnesota	13320.5	8290.4
Albany, New York	3	3
New York, New York	6.9	6.9
Blue Bell, Pennsylvania	1563.3	1563.3
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania	26.3	26.3
Dallas, Texas	45.4	45.4
Salt Lake City, Utah	1525.6	3995.5
Ashburn, Virginia	810.4	810.4
Vienna, Austria	15.7	15.7
Diegem, Belgium	44.8	44.8
Colombes, France	1	1
Duesseldorf, Germany	49	49
Hattershiem, Germany	44.3	44.3
Budapest West End, Hungary	88.5	88.5
Windhof, Luxembourg	1.7	
Amsterdam, Netherlands	3.5	3.5
Luesden, Netherlands	227.6	227.6
Madrid, Spain	61.4	61.4
Santiago, Spain	12.9	12.9
Bern, Switzerland	1.9	1.9
Thalwil, Switzerland	1.1	1.1
Leeds, England	32.8	32.8
Cody Park, England	493.2	493.2

Facility	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Milton Keynes Enigma, England	263.1	263.1
Taipei, Taiwan 3 sites	4.1	4.1
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 8 sites	372.5	372.5
Canberra, Australia	30	30
Sydney, Australia	6990.2	6990.2
Shanghai, China	328.8	328.8
Beijing, China	22.4	22.4
Tianjin, China	1.1	1.1
Schenzhen, China	1.1	1.1
Tokyo, Japan	6.9	6.9
Hong Kong, China 2 sites	136.5	136.5
Bangalore, India RIT	241.5	241.5
Hyderabad, India	282.1	317.5
Auckland, New Zealand	162.3	153.9
Paraparumu, New Zealand	206.8	196.1
Wellington, New Zealand	15.4	15.4
Manila, Philippines Macapagal	7	7
Singapore, Singapore	3.1	3.1
Buenos Aires, Argentina	15.5	15.5
Parana, Argentina	0.4	0.4
Mendoza, Argentina	0.9	0.9
Embratel, Brazil Co-Lo	79.1	79.1
Campinas, Brazil	115.3	115.3
Campo Grande, Brazil	24.5	24.5
Embu, Brazil	21.3	21.3
Nova Lima, Brazil	0.2	0.2
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil	0.5	0.5
Sao Paulo, Brazil Morumbi	1.2	1.2
Bogota, Colombia	44.8	44.8
Sao Paulo, Brazil Birmann	12.1	12.1
Rio Negro, Colombia	27.1	27.1
Mexico City, Mexico	14.5	14.5
Ottawa, Canada	0.8	0.8
Reston, VA	20.5	20.5
Richmond, VA	12.2	12.2
Pecs, Hungary	38.7	38.7
Budapest One, Hungary	30.7	30.7
Basel, Switzerland	0.2	0.2
Milton Keynes BPH, England	599.1	509.5
Milton Keynes Northfield, England	228.8	194.6
Milton Keynes St. Just, England	409.3	409.3
Spring Park, England	123.4	123.4
Bangalore, India RGA	1841.2	2040.2
Manila, Philippines Polar	42.8	42.8
Manila, Philippines City Net	121.9	121.9
Quezon City, Philippines	64.2	64.2
Cologne, Germany	36.5	36.5
Azure East , Virginia	49.2	49.2
Honolulu, Hawaii	52.3	52.3

C7.9

(C7.9) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?
Decreased

C7.9a

(C7.9a) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

	Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Direction of change	Emissions value (percentage)	Please explain calculation
Change in renewable energy consumption	200	Decreased	0.5	Increase use of renewable energy sources
Other emissions reduction activities	10675	Decreased	24	The decrease was calculated based on the 2021 CDP Scope 1 and Scope 2 location -based emissions (43,899) compared to the 2022 CDP Scope 1 and Scope 2 location-based emissions (33,024.4)
Divestment		<Not Applicable>		
Acquisitions		<Not Applicable>		
Mergers		<Not Applicable>		
Change in output		<Not Applicable>		
Change in methodology		<Not Applicable>		
Change in boundary		<Not Applicable>		
Change in physical operating conditions		<Not Applicable>		
Unidentified		<Not Applicable>		
Other		<Not Applicable>		

C7.9b

(C7.9b) Are your emissions performance calculations in C7.9 and C7.9a based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Location-based

C8. Energy

C8.1

(C8.1) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

More than 5% but less than or equal to 10%

C8.2

(C8.2) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Yes

C8.2a

(C8.2a) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

	Heating value	MWh from renewable sources	MWh from non-renewable sources	Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)	Unable to confirm heating value	0	3513	3513
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	<Not Applicable>	20551	47953	68504
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy	<Not Applicable>		<Not Applicable>	
Total energy consumption	<Not Applicable>	20551	51466	72017

C8.2b

(C8.2b) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	No

C8.2c

(C8.2c) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

Heating value
Please select

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>

Comment

Other biomass

Heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>

Comment

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

Heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>

Comment

Coal

Heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>

Comment

Oil

Heating value
Unable to confirm heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization
632

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity
81

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat
551

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>

Comment
The fuel used for self-generation of electricity is associated with the routine maintenance and testing of the stand-by electrical generators. No electricity is consumed in this process.

Gas

Heating value
Unable to confirm heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization
2882

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity
0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat
2882

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>

Comment

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

Heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>

Comment

Total fuel

Heating value
Unable to confirm heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization
3513

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity
81

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat
3432

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>

Comment
The fuel used for self-generation of electricity is associated with the routine maintenance and testing of the stand-by electrical generators. No electricity is consumed in this process.

C8.2d

(C8.2d) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

	Total Gross generation (MWh)	Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)	Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)	Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)
Electricity	0	0	0	0
Heat	3432	3432	0	0
Steam	0	0	0	0
Cooling	0	0	0	0

C8.2e

(C8.2e) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in C6.3.

Sourcing method
None (no active purchases of low-carbon electricity, heat, steam or cooling)

Energy carrier
<Not Applicable>

Low-carbon technology type
<Not Applicable>

Country/area of low-carbon energy consumption
<Not Applicable>

Tracking instrument used
<Not Applicable>

Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)
<Not Applicable>

Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute
<Not Applicable>

Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)
<Not Applicable>

Comment

C8.2g

(C8.2g) Provide a breakdown of your non-fuel energy consumption by country.

Country/area
Canada

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
187.94

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
United States of America

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
38095.02

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Austria

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
27.66

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Belgium

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
283.38

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
France

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
23.11

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Germany

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
213.08

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Hungary

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
551.9

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Luxembourg

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
3.8

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Netherlands

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
416.41

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Spain

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
216.49

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Switzerland

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
161.19

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
6724.71

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Taiwan, China

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
7.32

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Malaysia

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
686

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Australia

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
8775.29

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
China

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
566.72

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Japan

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
13.98

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Hong Kong SAR, China

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
170.6

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
India

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
3200.33

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
New Zealand

Consumption of electricity (MWh)

3844.66

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Philippines

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
398.35

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Singapore

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
6.6

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Argentina

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
46.83

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Brazil

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
2742.16

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Colombia

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
581.83

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

Country/area
Mexico

Consumption of electricity (MWh)
31.29

Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated]

Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?
<Not Applicable>

C9. Additional metrics

C9.1

(C9.1) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Description
Energy usage

Metric value
0.03

Metric numerator
Megawatt hours

Metric denominator (intensity metric only)
Square feet of space

% change from previous year
25

Direction of change
Decreased

Please explain
Real estate optimization and data center efficiency

C10. Verification

C10.1

(C10.1) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 3	No third-party verification or assurance

C10.2

(C10.2) Do you verify any climate-related information reported in your CDP disclosure other than the emissions figures reported in C6.1, C6.3, and C6.5?
No, but we are actively considering verifying within the next two years

C11. Carbon pricing

C11.1

(C11.1) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?
No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

C11.2

(C11.2) Has your organization originated or purchased any project-based carbon credits within the reporting period?
No

C11.3

(C11.3) Does your organization use an internal price on carbon?

No, and we do not currently anticipate doing so in the next two years

C12. Engagement

C12.1

(C12.1) Do you engage with your value chain on climate-related issues?

Yes, our suppliers

Yes, our customers/clients

C12.1a

(C12.1a) Provide details of your climate-related supplier engagement strategy.

Type of engagement

Information collection (understanding supplier behavior)

Details of engagement

Collect climate change and carbon information at least annually from suppliers

% of suppliers by number

75

% total procurement spend (direct and indirect)

67

% of supplier-related Scope 3 emissions as reported in C6.5

100

Rationale for the coverage of your engagement

We will be looking to engage the suppliers that represent 67% which is in line with the SBTi guidance for a net-zero carbon goal

Impact of engagement, including measures of success

The program is in its first year and is gaining momentum

Comment

C12.1b

(C12.1b) Give details of your climate-related engagement strategy with your customers.

Type of engagement & Details of engagement

Education/information sharing	Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes (i.e. Energy STAR)

% of customers by number

25

% of customer - related Scope 3 emissions as reported in C6.5

0

Please explain the rationale for selecting this group of customers and scope of engagement

We look to inform clients on the benefits of migrating from dedicated on premise solutions to hybrid cloud or cloud environments

Impact of engagement, including measures of success

We see a migration of clients from on premise to hybrid cloud or cloud environments

C12.2

(C12.2) Do your suppliers have to meet climate-related requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

No, but we plan to introduce climate-related requirements within the next two years

C12.3

(C12.3) Does your organization engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate?

Row 1

Direct or indirect engagement that could influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate
No

Does your organization have a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with the goals of the Paris Agreement?
<Not Applicable>

Attach commitment or position statement(s)
<Not Applicable>

Describe the process(es) your organization has in place to ensure that your engagement activities are consistent with your overall climate change strategy
We utilize service like EcoVadis to assist with our suppliers in reporting climate change and other environmental, social and governance strategies.

Primary reason for not engaging in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate
Important but not an immediate priority

Explain why your organization does not engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate
At this time there are greater priorities such as the development and validation of a science based target

C12.4

(C12.4) Have you published information about your organization's response to climate change and GHG emissions performance for this reporting year in places other than in your CDP response? If so, please attach the publication(s).

Publication
In voluntary sustainability report

Status
Complete

Attach the document
Unisys report-210426-sustainability.pdf

Page/Section reference
Pages 7-11

Content elements
Governance
Strategy
Emissions figures
Emission targets

Comment

Publication
Other, please specify (Web Page)

Status
Complete

Attach the document

Page/Section reference
https://www.unisys.com/about-unisys/environmental-social-and-governance/environmental-stewardship/

Content elements
Emissions figures
Emission targets
Other metrics

Comment

C15. Biodiversity

C15.1

(C15.1) Is there board-level oversight and/or executive management-level responsibility for biodiversity-related issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight and/or executive management-level responsibility for biodiversity-related issues	Description of oversight and objectives relating to biodiversity	Scope of board-level oversight
Row 1	No, and we do not plan to have both within the next two years	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>

C15.2

(C15.2) Has your organization made a public commitment and/or endorsed any initiatives related to biodiversity?

	Indicate whether your organization made a public commitment or endorsed any initiatives related to biodiversity	Biodiversity-related public commitments	Initiatives endorsed
Row 1	No, and we do not plan to do so within the next 2 years	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>

C15.3

(C15.3) Does your organization assess the impact of its value chain on biodiversity?

	Does your organization assess the impact of its value chain on biodiversity?	Portfolio
Row 1	No, and we do not plan to assess biodiversity-related impacts within the next two years	<Not Applicable>

C15.4

(C15.4) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Have you taken any actions in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?	Type of action taken to progress biodiversity- related commitments
Row 1	No, and we do not plan to undertake any biodiversity-related actions	<Not Applicable>

C15.5

(C15.5) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?	Indicators used to monitor biodiversity performance
Row 1	No	Please select

C15.6

(C15.6) Have you published information about your organization's response to biodiversity-related issues for this reporting year in places other than in your CDP response? If so, please attach the publication(s).

Report type	Content elements	Attach the document and indicate where in the document the relevant biodiversity information is located
No publications	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>

C16. Signoff

C-FI

(C-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

C16.1

(C16.1) Provide details for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP climate change response.

	Job title	Corresponding job category
Row 1	Director Global Environmental, Safety, Health and Security	Other, please specify (Director Global Environmental, Safety, Health and Security)

SC. Supply chain module

SC0.0

(SC0.0) If you would like to do so, please provide a separate introduction to this module.

Unisys Corporation (Unisys) is a worldwide information technology (“IT”) company that provides a portfolio of IT services, software and technology that solves mission-critical problems for clients. Unisys has limited manufacturing operations. Nevertheless, Unisys has implemented strong environmental requirements for its supply chain. Those requirements include environmental reporting, pollution prevention, and product content restrictions. Unisys is actively engaged in providing energy-efficient products that are consistent with, or exceed, program limits such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s Energy Star Program. Actual energy consumption of our products varies based on the customer's usage patterns as well as on the source of the energy used to power those products. Unisys end-of-life product disposition program is designed to help mitigate Unisys carbon footprint with the reduction of carbon dioxide (CO2) associated with disposition of end-of-life electric and electronic equipment. To address proper recovery, recycling, and disposal of customer end-of-life electrical and electronic equipment that is consistent with legislative or regulatory requirements, Unisys utilizes only environmentally sound disposition partners. In the European Union these partners are conducting business in a manner that is consistent with the requirements of the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) Directive and related Member State legislation. Unisys is committed to complying with governmental legislative and regulatory requirements for providing environmentally sound recovery, recycling, and disposal of customer end-of-life Unisys-branded electrical and electronic equipment.

From our first Carbon Disclosure Report in 2007 (for Calendar Year 2006), we have reduced location based Scope 1 and 2 emissions from 171,365 metric tonnes to 33,024.4 metric tonnes or 82% with a goal of reducing location based Scope 1 and 2 emissions in CDP 2027 (for CY 2026) by 75% as compared to CDP 2007. This goal has been achieved five (5) years ahead of schedule. With that in mind, Unisys has established a net zero carbon goal by CY 2030. To achieve this goal, we are working on a science-based target of a 75% reduction in CY 2020 location based Scope 1 and 2 emissions (43,899 metric tonnes) by CY 2030 by optimizing energy efficiency in our operations, right sizing the real estate footprint to align with a hybrid working model and pursue economically feasible opportunities to source renewable power. The remaining carbon footprint will then be offset using renewable energy credits. These plans have been articulated in Unisys' Science-based Target that was submitted in June 2022 to the Science Based Target initiative for their review and approval.

Since 1997, obsolete products have been collected from within Unisys and from Unisys customers. In 2021, over 175,000 pounds of end-of-life products were collected. Those obsolete products were processed through third-party facilities. Many parts were refurbished for future reuse as replacement parts, while remaining materials were delivered to end-of-life electronic equipment vendors for recycling and energy recovery. In 2021 Unisys did not dispose of any U.S. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act hazardous waste from its manufacturing operations. Whenever possible, Unisys promotes recycling opportunities, reduces waste generation and encourages the wise use of supplies and materials during, and after, their useful life. In its commitment to a cleaner environment, Unisys is involved in a variety of product-focused initiatives that help the company, Unisys customers and the environment. These initiatives include the use of green vehicles for employee transit in India, photocopiers are set for double sided printing to conserve paper, establishing central collection spots within our locations for recycling of paper, cans and plastics to allow associates to make a conscious decision to recycle, expanding a hybrid working environment and including Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) criteria into selection of new locations, construction and remodeling projects. Unisys encourages employees and customers to recycle printer cartridges, as well as employee home-generated print cartridges, small batteries and mobile telephones, to significantly reduce landfill waste.

SC0.1

(SC0.1) What is your company’s annual revenue for the stated reporting period?

	Annual Revenue
Row 1	2050000000

SC1.1

(SC1.1) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

Requesting member
BT Group

Scope of emissions
Scope 2

Allocation level
Facility

Allocation level detail
GSA is serviced form two Unisys Data Centers (Eagan and Salt Lake City). GSA was allocated based on the space utilized within the two data centers.

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e
155

Uncertainty (±%)
10

Major sources of emissions
Scope 2 emissions associated with the operation of equipment in the data center

Verified
No

Allocation method
Allocation based on area

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member
100000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied
Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made
The GSA equipment is located in the data centers

Requesting member
Caixa Econômica Federal

Scope of emissions
Scope 2

Allocation level
Facility

Allocation level detail
The Caixa allocation is based on the number of headcount supporting the account as a percentage of the total headcount in Brazil.

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e
59

Uncertainty (±%)
10

Major sources of emissions
Scope 2 associated with associates that occupy the facility

Verified
No

Allocation method
Other, please specify (Based on headcount)

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member
1000000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied
Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made
Based on the number of headcount and Unisys total Scope 2 emissions in Brazil

---

Requesting member
HP Inc

Scope of emissions
Scope 3

Allocation level
Business unit (subsidiary company)

Allocation level detail
The allocation was based on the number of field engineers in Brazil and the full time equivalent of field engineers that support the HP account. The scope 3 emissions associated with Brazil field engineers was the allocated based on this percentage

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e
29

Uncertainty (±%)
10

Major sources of emissions
Scope 3 emissions associated with driving to HP sites to support the account

Verified
No

Allocation method
Other, please specify (Based on Field Engineering headcount and full time equivalents that support the account)

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member
500000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied
Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made
The allocation was based on the number of field engineers in Brazil and the full time equivalent of field engineers that support the HP account. The scope 3 emissions associated with Brazil field engineers was the allocated based on this percentage

---

Requesting member

Microsoft Corporation

Scope of emissions
Scope 2

Allocation level
Facility

Allocation level detail
The allocation is based on the headcount that supports the account and then allocating

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e
334

Uncertainty (±%)
10

Major sources of emissions
Scope 2 associated with facilities supporting the account and the percentage of associates at those locations

Verified
No

Allocation method
Allocation based on area

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member
1300000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied
Kilograms

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made
Scope 2 associated with facilities supporting the account and the percentage of associates at those locations

---

Requesting member
Lloyds Banking Group

Scope of emissions
Scope 3

Allocation level
Facility

Allocation level detail
The carbon footprint was based on the device deployed along the estimated voltage and amperage draw to determine the wattage. With that wattage we could then calculate the estimated carbon footprint

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e
586

Uncertainty (±%)
10

Major sources of emissions
From hardware supplied by Unisys

Verified
No

Allocation method
Allocation not necessary due to type of primary data available

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member
1000000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied
Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made
The source of the GHG was based on the hardware topology

Requesting member
Vodafone Group

Scope of emissions
Scope 2

Allocation level
Facility

Allocation level detail
Scope 2 associated with facilities supporting the account and the percentage of associates at those locations

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e
10

Uncertainty (±%)
10

Major sources of emissions
Scope 2 associated with facilities supporting the account and the percentage of associates at those locations

Verified
No

Allocation method
Allocation based on another physical factor

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member
100000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied
Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made
Scope 2 associated with facilities supporting the account and the percentage of associates at those locations

SC1.2

(SC1.2) Where published information has been used in completing SC1.1, please provide a reference(s).

https://www.unisys.com/siteassets/collateral/report/report-210426-sustainability.pdf

SC1.3

(SC1.3) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Allocation challenges	Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges
Customer base is too large and diverse to accurately track emissions to the customer level	We would need accurate allocation of the resources assigned in support of these engagements

SC1.4

(SC1.4) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?
Yes

SC1.4a

(SC1.4a) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities.

We will continue to refine record keeping and reporting to allow for more accurate reporting

SC2.1

(SC2.1) Please propose any mutually beneficial climate-related projects you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

Requesting member
Lloyds Banking Group

Group type of project
New product or service

Type of project
New product or service that reduces customers operational emissions

Emissions targeted
Actions to reduce customers' operational emissions (customer scope 1 & 2)

Estimated timeframe for carbon reductions to be realized
1-3 years

Estimated lifetime CO2e savings
0

Estimated payback
Other, please specify (Need to run a financial analysis)

Details of proposal
Migrate from on premise to hybrid or cloud computing

SC2.2

(SC2.2) Have requests or initiatives by CDP Supply Chain members prompted your organization to take organizational-level emissions reduction initiatives?
No

SC4.1

(SC4.1) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?
No, I am not providing data

Submit your response

In which language are you submitting your response?
English

Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP

	I understand that my response will be shared with all requesting stakeholders	Response permission
Please select your submission options	Yes	Non-public

Please confirm below
I have read and accept the applicable Terms